Early fragmentation in the adaptive voter model on directed networks
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We consider voter dynamics on a directed adaptive network with fixed out-degree distribution. A transition between an active phase and a fragmented phase is observed. This transition is similar to the undirected case if the networks are sufficiently dense and have a narrow out-degree distribution. However, if a significant number of nodes with low out degree is present, then fragmentation can occur even far below the estimated critical point due to the formation of self-stabilizing structures that nucleate fragmentation. This process may be relevant for fragmentation in current political opinion formation processes.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The defining feature of complex systems is the emergence of collective phenomena from the interaction of many parts [1]. A vivid example is provided by social (swarm) intelligence [2]. Crowds of humans, shoals of fish, and even swarms of insects are known to solve problems more efficiently than any individual on its own [3,4]. However, only in humans is social intelligence also used on a higher level. Among all species, only we have evolved the ability to discuss (and debate) future problems and opportunities and formulate long-term policy.

Recent media reports have pointed out that a central function of the political opinion formation process, the debunking of counterfactual opinions, may be starting to fail (see Ref. [5] for an example from US politics). In the political discourse our opinions are exposed to close scrutiny and criticism. Well-founded criticism may cause us to change counterfactual beliefs and thus promote rational decision making. However, because of the stresses involved, humans tend to favor discussing with others who share similar beliefs.

With the increasing diversity of offline and online media [6,7] and new media technologies [8], it is becoming easier to avoid opposing opinions altogether. In particular, the Internet enables people not only to access but also to publish information easily. One of the best examples is perhaps the microblogging service Twitter, which currently is approaching a billion user posts per week. Among this flood of information, it is easy to find sources supporting almost every conceivable opinion while avoiding contradicting evidence.

It thus seems likely that situations develop where a given subset of the society (and the media by which it is represented) pay attention only to information sources with the same belief system, thus reinforcing and perpetuating myths that are never confronted with opposing views. In this light, one may ask whether we are heading for a society that is fractionated into groups adhering to internally consistent but mutually exclusive belief systems.

The question when fragmentation in opinion formation processes can occur on a social scale is beyond the scope of classical social research because it focuses on an emergent phenomenon that may require new conceptual approaches from physics. A minimal yet paradigmatic physical model of opinion formation is the voter model [9,10]. It describes a network of nodes representing agents and links representing the social contacts among them. The agents hold one of two possible opinions, which they can change by adopting the opinion of their topological neighbors. Due to its similarity to interacting spin models, the voter model has attracted considerable attention in the physics literature and has been studied using different interaction geometries such as regular lattices or heterogeneous networks [10–13].

An important extension of the voter model is achieved by including homophily, the agents’ propensity to discard links to opposing neighbors and establish new links to agents holding the same opinion [14]. Thus the interaction network is not static but coevolves with the agent dynamics as the agents rewire their links depending on their opinions. Such networks, in which the node and link dynamics coevolve, are called adaptive networks [15–17].

The long-term dynamics of the adaptive voter model can reach one of two absorbing states: a consensus state, in which all agents hold the same opinion, or a fragmented state, in which the network breaks into at least two components, which are internally in consensus. The adaptive voter model therefore provides a simple framework in which the fragmentation of opinion formation processes can be studied [18–22]. In this model, fragmentation occurs in a phase transition that has been identified as a generic absorbing transition [19]. It can be computed analytically using a recently proposed motif expansion approach [23].

The adaptive voter model has been studied so far on undirected networks. In the context of opinion formation, however, the underlying interactions are often asymmetric. It is therefore reasonable to encode “who pays attention to whom” as directed links in the interaction network. Directed links were considered in previous studies on static networks [24–28], but directed adaptive networks have only been investigated in a generic threshold model for Boolean networks [29].
In this paper we investigate voter dynamics in a directed adaptive network in which both the opinion dynamics and the topological change are influenced by the directionality of the interactions. The agents can avoid disagreeing with neighbors by rewiring their outgoing links, whereas they cannot affect their incoming links. The overall network topology thus changes adaptively, while the out degree of each agent, i.e., its number of outgoing links, remains unchanged. This enables us to study the influence of different realistic out-degree distributions.

For sufficiently dense Poissonian out-degree distributions, fragmentation occurs if the rewiring of network connections exceeds a critical rate, which is consistent with previous results on undirected networks [18–22]. However, for scale-free out-degree distributions and Poissonian distributions with small mean degree, we find that fragmentation can already be observed at much lower rewiring rates than in undirected networks. We show that this behavior is due to the nodes of low out degree, which can form self-stabilizing topological structures that nucleate fragmentation.

II. MODEL

We consider a network of \( N \) nodes representing agents and \( K \) directed links representing social contacts. Each node \( i \) holds a binary opinion \( \sigma_i \in \{A, B\} \). The direction of links indicates the flow of attention between the agents. In other words, in our notation we draw links in the direction that one would draw the “follows” links on Twitter.

We initialize the network as a random directed graph with randomly assigned equiprobable strategies. The node states and the network topology are then left to evolve according to the following rules. In sequential updates a link \( i \rightarrow j \) is picked at random from the network.\(^1\) If \( \sigma_i = \sigma_j \), the link is said to be inert and nothing happens. Otherwise, the link is said to be active and it is either rewired (probability \( p \)) or an opinion update takes place (probability \( 1 - p \)). In the former case the node \( i \) cuts the link and reconnects to a random node \( k \) with \( \sigma_k = \sigma_i \). In the latter case node \( i \) switches its opinion \( \sigma_i \) to \( \sigma_j \). We note that the rewiring of links changes only the in-degree distribution, whereas the out-degree distribution and the average degree \( \langle k \rangle = K/N \) of the network remain fixed. In contrast to previous studies of the voter model on static directed networks, we do not need to restrict our model to networks consisting of a single strongly connected component [24,27,31] because the network’s component structure is affected by the ongoing rewiring of links, which continuously forms and reroutes paths between different strongly connected components.

Below we study the proposed model in terms of the density \( n \) of \( A \) nodes (corresponding to agents holding opinion \( A \)) and the per capita densities \( f \) and \( h \) of active links \( f \equiv [A \rightarrow B] \) and \( h \equiv [B \rightarrow A] \). Following Refs. [19,20], we characterize the state of the network by the magnetization \( m = 1 - 2n \) and the active link density \( \rho = (f + h)/\langle k \rangle \).

In network simulations of the directed adaptive voter model one observes qualitatively different types of trajectories. First, at sufficiently high rewiring rates the network rapidly approaches a fragmented state \( \langle |m| > 0, \rho = 0 \rangle \) in which the network breaks into at least two components, which are internally in consensus. Second, for sufficiently low rewiring rates the network initially approaches a stationary active state \( \langle |m| \ll 1, \rho > 0 \rangle \), in which the opinions and the topology change continually. Because such active states form a parabola in the \( \rho-m \) plane, the system can drift randomly along the parabola until an absorbing consensus state \( (m = \pm 1, \rho = 0) \) is reached. These dynamics are closely reminiscent of the adaptive voter model on undirected networks [19,21].

In addition to the trajectories described above, the directed model can show a third type of behavior not observed in the undirected case. Here the systems drifts along the parabola of active states for some time and then collapses slowly to the fragmented state (Fig. 1). This can lead to fragmentation significantly below the critical rewiring rate found in undirected networks. The delayed fragmentation after the drift along the parabola of active states suggests that in the active state the network undergoes some slow reorganization that eventually leads to the destabilization of the active states.

In the following we investigate the nature of this reorganization and its implications for network fragmentation.

III. ANALYTICAL APPROACH

The main goal of this paper is exploring the impact of directionality of attention on the opinion formation process.

\(^1\)In this work we use the link update scheme. We have checked that the results reported here do not change qualitatively when using node update, i.e., selecting a random node and then one of its outgoing neighbors.
For this purpose we compare the dynamics of the directed adaptive voter model to well-known results for the undirected adaptive voter model. In the following we refer to these two models simply as the directed model and the undirected model, respectively. A direct comparison of different models is often difficult and may lead to misleading results. We therefore compare simulation results of the directed model to two analytical approximations that are known to capture the dynamics of the undirected model in different limits. In this comparison an agreement between analytical and numerical results indicates that the assumptions made for the undirected model are still valid in the directed model, whereas a disagreement points to alternative physics in the directed model that is not observed in the undirected model.

A. Moment expansion

The undirected model was studied extensively by moment expansions [19,21,32]. Following previous works, we derive differential equations for the time evolution of so-called network moments, namely, the densities $n$, $f$, and $h$ defined above. The change in the density of $A$ nodes, $n$, is given by the balance between opinion adoption in $B \rightarrow A$ and $A \rightarrow B$ links,

$$\dot{n} = (1 - p)(h - f).$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The density of $A \rightarrow B$ links, $f$, changes according to

$$\dot{f} = -pf + (1 - p)\left\{[A \rightarrow A \rightarrow B] - 2[B \leftarrow A \rightarrow B] + [B \leftarrow B \rightarrow A] - [A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A] - f\right\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $[X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z]$ and $[X \leftarrow Y \rightarrow Z]$ denote the per capita densities of triplets in the network $(X, Y, Z \in \{A, B\})$. In Eq. (2) the first term corresponds to the gain in $f$ due to rewiring, whereas the remaining terms correspond to gains and losses due to opinion adoption. In an opinion adoption event, a node copies a neighbor’s opinion via one of its outgoing active links, transforming it into an inert link. This also affects all other links connected to the focal node, transforming active links into inert ones and vice versa. The resulting indirect change in the density of active links is accounted for by the triplet variables. The time evolution of the density of $B \rightarrow A$ links, $h$, is determined by the analogous equation

$$\dot{h} = -ph + (1 - p)\left\{[B \rightarrow A \rightarrow A] - 2[A \leftarrow A \rightarrow A] + [A \leftarrow A \rightarrow B] - [B \rightarrow A \rightarrow B] - h\right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Equations (1)–(3) do not constitute a closed ordinary differential equation (ODE) system, as they involve the triplet moments $[X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z]$ and $[X \leftarrow Y \rightarrow Z]$. In principle, the equation system could be complemented by similar equations for the triplet moments. These would, however, depend on higher moments, such as four-node motifs. An appropriate truncation of the expansion is thus necessary in order to obtain a closed system of equations. This approximation is referred to as moment closure [32,33].

In the following we express the triplet densities in terms of node and link densities using the pair approximation [32–35]

$$[X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z] \approx [X \rightarrow Y][Y \rightarrow Z]/\langle k \rangle,$$

$$\mu_{XZ}[X \leftarrow Y \rightarrow Z] \approx [Y \rightarrow X][q_{o}]/\langle k \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\mu_{XZ} = 1 + \delta_{XZ}$ accounts for the double counting of symmetric triplets. In the equations the numbers of triplets are approximated as the number of $X \rightarrow Y$ or $Y \rightarrow X$ links times the average number of $Y \rightarrow Z$ links connected to a $Y$ node.

Here we assume that the probability of finding an outgoing $Z$ neighbor of a $Y$ node is independent of the presence of an $X$ neighbor of the $Y$ node. Thus the probability of finding a given triplet depends on the global link density $\langle Y \rightarrow Z \rangle / \langle k \rangle$. In Eq. (4) each of the $\langle k \rangle$ outgoing links of the $Y$ node is a $Y \rightarrow Z$ link with this probability. In Eq. (5), in contrast, the $Y$ node has already been selected by following one of its outgoing links. In this case each of its remaining $\langle q_{o} \rangle$ outgoing links is a $Y \rightarrow Z$ link with this probability. The quantity $\langle q_{o} \rangle$ is the mean exceed out degree in the network, which can be computed from the out-degree distribution [36].

As a further simplification we assume that the mean degree of both node types is equal so that $\langle A \rightarrow A \rangle = \langle k \rangle n - f$ and $\langle B \rightarrow B \rangle = \langle k \rangle(1 - n) - h$ [19]. We then obtain a closed set of ODEs

$$\dot{n} = (1 - p)(h - f),$$

$$\dot{f} = -pf + (1 - p)\left\{\frac{\langle k \rangle n - f}{n} - f - \frac{hf}{1 - n} + \kappa \left\{\frac{\langle k \rangle(1 - n) - h}{1 - n} - \frac{f^{2}}{n}\right\}\right\},$$

$$\dot{h} = -ph + (1 - p)\left\{\frac{\langle k \rangle(1 - n) - h}{1 - n} - h - \frac{fh}{n} + \kappa \left\{\frac{\langle k \rangle n - f}{n} - \frac{h^{2}}{1 - n}\right\}\right\},$$

where $\kappa = \langle q_{o} \rangle / \langle k \rangle$. This system of differential equations has a trivial solution

$$h^{*} = f^{*} = 0,$$

corresponding to the absorbing states, in which no active links are left. These states can be either fragmented states ($0 < n^{*} < 1$) or consensus states ($n^{*} = 0$ or 1). Additionally, there is a continuum of nonabsorbing, active stationary states

$$h^{*} = f^{*} = n^{*}(1 - n^{*})\left(\langle k \rangle - \frac{1}{1 + \kappa(1 - p)}\right),$$

in which neither consensus nor fragmentation is achieved. These states form the parabola in the $\rho$-$m$ plane discussed above (Fig. 1).

In the undirected model the moment expansion is known to yield good results when $p$ is far from the fragmentation point, but to become less precise for $p$ close to fragmentation. To estimate the fragmentation point we therefore resort to a motif expansion described below. It is nevertheless instructive to consider the critical rewiring rate

$$\tilde{p}_{c} = 1 - [(\langle k \rangle / \langle k \rangle + 1)^{-1},$$

which is computed by a linear stability analysis of the active states. For $p < \tilde{p}_{c}$ the active states are stable, whereas the absorbing states are stable for $p > \tilde{p}_{c}$. This estimate of the critical point closely resembles the analogous result for the
adaptive voter model using link update on undirected networks [35]. The main difference is that in the undirected case, the parameter $\kappa$ is unknown and therefore usually set to unity. This approximation is well justified because in the undirected model the ongoing rewiring leads to an approximately Poissonian degree distribution for which $\kappa = 1$ [36]. In contrast, in the directed model the outgoing degree distribution remains fixed and $\kappa$ has to be considered explicitly. In the results presented here we use values of $\kappa$ that are explicitly measured in realizations of the respective out-degree distributions.

B. Motif expansion

In the undirected model a precise estimate of the transition point can be obtained by a motif expansion proposed in Ref. [23]. In this expansion we consider an almost fragmented network, consisting of two almost isolated components that are internally in consensus, but are still connected by a low density of links (dashed) of the new motif is estimated based on the in-degree distribution observed in the undirected model [19]. Starting from agent-based simulation of the networks. We first consider the case of a network with Poissonian out-degree distribution with $\langle k \rangle = 8$. As a second example we study a network with a scale-free out-degree distribution, in which the fragmentation occurs much earlier. We conjecture that this early fragmentation occurs due to the presence of a large number of nodes with low out degree, which is then verified in a network with Poissonian degree distribution with $\langle k \rangle = 4$.

\[ \rho(m_{in}, m_{out}, l_{in}, l_{out}) = -\rho(m_{in}, m_{out}, l_{in}, l_{out}) + \frac{l_{in} + 1}{l_{in} + 1 + l_{out}} \rho(m_{in}, m_{out}, l_{in} + 1, l_{out}) + \frac{l_{in} + 1}{l_{in} + 1 + l_{out}} (1 - p) \rho(m_{in}, m_{out}, 0, l_{in} + 1) + \frac{l_{out} + 1}{l_{in} + l_{out} + 1} \rho(m_{in}, m_{out} - 1, l_{in}, l_{out} + 1) + \frac{m_{out}}{m_{in} + m_{out}} (1 - p) \rho(l_{in}, l_{out}, m_{in}, m_{out}) \] 

for $m_{in} > 0$ and $m_{out} > 1$ and

\[ \rho(0, 1, l_{in}, l_{out}) = -\rho(0, 1, l_{in}, l_{out}) + (1 - p) P_{in}(l_{in}) P_{out}(l_{out} + 1) \times \sum \frac{n_{in}}{n_{in} + n_{out}} \rho(m_{in}, m_{out}, n_{in}, n_{out}) \]

for $m_{in} = 0$ and $m_{out} = 1$. The summation runs over all active motifs $(m_{in}, m_{out}, n_{in}, n_{out})$ up to maximum in and out degrees, i.e., over all possible 4-tuples with $m_{in} + n_{in} \leq \bar{K}_{in}$ and $m_{out} + n_{out} \leq \bar{K}_{out}$, where $\bar{K}_{in}$ and $\bar{K}_{out}$ denote the cutoffs. Note that the dimension of the transition matrix grows with the cutoff faster than $\bar{K}_{in}$, which has a significant effect on the computation time.

The analogy between the directed and undirected models is shown in Fig. 2. We account for heterogeneous in- and out-degree distributions $(P_{in}, P_{out})$, but assume that the in and out degrees of a node are uncorrelated. In the balance equations, the fragmented state is obtained as the stationary solution containing zero active motifs. The critical rewiring rate $p_c$ is then extracted from the linear stability analysis of this state as the rewiring rate at which the fragmented state becomes stable.

IV. NUMERICAL EXPLORATION OF EARLY FRAGMENTATION

In the following we compare the estimated fragmentation points, obtained from the approximations above, with results from agent-based simulation of the networks. We first consider the case of a network with Poissonian out-degree distribution with $\langle k \rangle = 8$. As a second example we study a network with a scale-free out-degree distribution, in which the fragmentation occurs much earlier. We conjecture that this early fragmentation occurs due to the presence of a large number of nodes with low out degree, which is then verified in a network with Poissonian degree distribution with $\langle k \rangle = 4$.

A. Poissonian out-degree distribution with $\langle k \rangle = 8$

We first consider networks with a Poissonian out-degree distribution because this distribution closely matches the distribution observed in the undirected model [19]. Starting from a random graph with both in and out degrees drawn from a Poisson distribution with mean $\langle k \rangle$, we simulate the full network dynamics for systems of up to $N = 10^5$ nodes until a frozen state is reached or a maximum simulation time $t_{\text{max}}$ is exceeded. Time is measured in units of $1/K$, so that $K$ update events take place in one simulated time unit.

The results in Fig. 3 show a relatively sharp fragmentation transition at a critical rewiring rate $p_c \approx 0.79$. For $p < p_c$, the network reaches a state of global consensus, in which all nodes have the same state ($\langle m \rangle = 1$). By contrast, for $p > p_c$, it separates into two disconnected components of approximately the same size, which hold opposing opinions but are internally in consensus. These results are strongly reminiscent of the undirected model [19,21,23].

The analogy between the directed and undirected models extends also to the analytical results. As in the undirected model, the moment expansion overestimates the transition
FIG. 3. Fragmentation of a network with Poissonian out-degree distribution and \((k) = 8\). Shown is the absolute value of the magnetization in the final frozen state (top) and the proportion \(r\) of simulation runs that reaches a fragmented state before \(t_{\text{max}} = 80,000\) (bottom) as a function of the rewiring rate \(p\). Each point is an average over 100 simulations. The critical point computed by the moment closure approximation (dotted line) overestimates the critical rewiring rate, whereas the motif expansion yields a better estimate of the true fragmentation point. For the motif expansion a cutoff of \(\hat{k}_m = \hat{k}_{\text{out}} = 10\) was used. For a higher cutoff the estimated critical rewiring rate is expected to shift to slightly higher values.

point, whereas the motif expansion yields a relatively precise estimate.

The study of the directed model in Poissonian networks with \((k) = 8\) highlights the similarities between the directed and undirected networks and provides a basic test for our analytical approaches. For these networks the directed model exhibits the same dynamics as the undirected model and the analytical approaches capture the dynamics with similar precision as in the undirected case.

B. Scale-free out-degree distribution

We now ask how the model behaves for more realistic out-degree distributions that cannot be realized in the previously studied undirected model. In the following we consider power-law distributions of the form \(P_{\text{out}}(k) \propto k^{-\alpha}\), which capture the diversity that is observed in a wide variety of social applications [37]. For generating networks with power-law-distributed out degrees and Poissonian in degrees, we first draw an out-degree sequence of length \(N\) from a power-law distribution. For each out degree \(k_i\) in this sequence, we then connect the outgoing links of node \(i\) to \(k_i\) random nodes in the network. We explicitly avoid creating nodes without outgoing links as these would never change their state and act as ”zealots,” trivially preventing the possibility of global consensus [38].

The results in Fig. 4 show that in scale-free networks with \(\alpha \in [2,3]\) the fragmentation occurs much earlier than in the Poissonian case. Moreover, the proportion \(r\) of networks reaching fragmentation now increases gradually with increasing \(p\). Considering individual simulation runs in detail, one finds that the networks remain for some time in an active state before slowly approaching fragmentation—a behavior not observed in the undirected model or in the directed networks considered in the preceding section.

The observation that the networks spend some time in the active state before fragmenting indicates that these states are still feasible at least in the beginning of the simulation runs. The mechanism by which fragmentation is reached must therefore differ from the mechanism observed in the cases studied so far, where fragmentation occurs due to the destabilization of the parabola of active states in a transcritical bifurcation.

Notably both the moment and motif expansion seem not to capture the different mechanism for fragmentation because they significantly overestimate the fragmentation point. In the following we call fragmentation well below the estimated transition points [for the motif expansion \(\alpha = 2\) (dashed line), \(\alpha = 2.25\) (dash-double-dotted line), and \(\alpha = 2.5\) (dash-dotted line) and for the moment closure \(\alpha = 2\) (dotted line)] and extends over a wider range. The parameters are \(N = 10^4\), \(\hat{k}_m = \hat{k}_{\text{out}} = 10\), and \((k) = 5.5665, 2.7042, \) and 1.876 for \(\alpha = 2, 2.25, \) and 2.5, respectively.

FIG. 4. Early fragmentation in scale-free networks. The plots are analogous to Fig. 3, but describe networks with an out-degree distribution \(P_{\text{out}}(k) \propto k^{-\alpha}\). Fragmentation occurs far below the estimated transition points [for the motif expansion \(\alpha = 2\) (dashed line), \(\alpha = 2.25\) (dash-double-dotted line), and \(\alpha = 2.5\) (dash-dotted line) and for the moment closure \(\alpha = 2\) (dotted line)] and extends over a wider range. The parameters are \(N = 10^4\), \(\hat{k}_m = \hat{k}_{\text{out}} = 10\), and \((k) = 5.5665, 2.7042, \) and 1.876 for \(\alpha = 2, 2.25, \) and 2.5, respectively.
FIG. 5. Average in degree vs out degree at the initial \((t = 0, \text{circles})\), intermediate \((t = 20, \text{squares})\), and stabilized stage before full fragmentation \((t = 500, \text{diamonds})\). Shown is the average in degree of the network nodes vs their logarithmically binned out degree, averaged over 10 simulation runs. On average, the in degree of nodes with large out degree decreases with time. The parameters are \(N = 5000\), \(P_{\text{out}}(k) \propto k^{-2}\), and \(p = 0.3\).

majority of neighbors now oppose the opinion and rewire their links with some probability. In the long run agents that frequently change their opinion have lower in degree than those who change their opinion rarely. Therefore, the attention, measured in terms of incoming links, focuses on the agents that have a low out degree and thus rarely change their opinion (Fig. 5).

Focusing the attention on agents of low out degree impedes the propagation of opinions across the network. In particular it can lead to the formation of small clusters that have few outgoing links and hence have a very high resistance to invasion of the opposing opinion. In an extreme case small subgraphs can form in which all nodes are in consensus and all outgoing links starting within the subgraph lead to other nodes in the same subgraph. Such structures are attracting components of the network, which can be entered by following a directed path but never left due to the lack of outgoing links. Therefore, such subgraphs can never be invaded by the opposing opinion. Further, no outgoing links leaving the subgraph can be formed because none of the nodes in the subgraph will ever rewire an outgoing link.

We call subgraphs that are hard or impossible to invade self-stabilizing structures. The initial formation of such a structure through the creation of a state-homogeneous attracting component is a stochastic event that occurs with a small probability. However, once such a structure has been formed it can grow as other nodes rewire their outgoing links into the structure. Nodes of low out degree can be recruited rapidly because only few rewiring events are necessary to rewire all of their outgoing links into the self-stabilizing structure. Recruitment of nodes with more outgoing links takes longer as more rewiring events are required. Note that the size of a self-stabilizing structure can only increase. In simulations, networks observed shortly before fragmentation are often found to consist of two almost disconnected clusters, which are only connected by a few nodes of high out degree. Because of their frequent changes of state, these connecting hubs have very few or no incoming links.

For illustration of the mechanism described above an embedding of a small network at four time points before fragmentation is shown in Fig. 6. The network breaks into two almost disconnected clusters. The remaining connections are
Summarizing the observations above, we conjecture that early fragmentation is initiated by the formation of self-stabilizing structures among nodes of low out degree. We emphasize that contrary to most dynamical phenomena observed in scale-free networks, the dynamics of interest is generated primarily in the nodes of low degree. Nodes of high degree still play an important role as they are the last nodes to connect the separating components and thus determine the time of fragmentation. This mechanism is not captured by current analytical approaches because it relies on the buildup of negative correlations between the in degree and out degree of nodes that is neglected in previously proposed approximation schemes.

C. Poissonian out-degree distribution with low \langle k \rangle

Because the mechanism postulated above relies on the formation of correlations, one can perform a simple test by considering a system in which these correlations are removed by an additional rewiring process. However, such a test is difficult in scale-free networks for two reasons: First, because of the constraints in scale-free topology it is well known that it is difficult to remove correlations in scale-free networks completely and second, because of the presence of nodes of very high degree, fragmentation takes a long time, making numerical studies of fragmentation tedious.

Our reasoning above predicts that early fragmentation should be observed also in directed Poissonian networks with sufficiently low mean degree. In the present section we therefore consider a Poissonian network with a mean degree of 4, which avoids the difficulties encountered in scale-free networks. In this section we show (a) that this network exhibits early fragmentation and (b) that the early fragmentation can be avoided by an additional rewiring mechanism that destroys the correlations implicated in the formation of self-stabilizing structures.

Simulation results for the network described above are shown in Fig. 8. The figure shows clear evidence of fragmentation well below the estimated fragmentation point. Further, this early fragmentation is accompanied by the buildup of negative correlations between the in and out degrees of the nodes. This confirms our previous observation that attention focuses on those nodes who pay little attention to others themselves, as we have also seen in the scale-free case in Fig. 5.

To verify that the correlation described above is the cause and not a symptom of the early fragmentation, we now consider a different variant of the model. This variant is identical to the model used so far except that when an inert link is chosen, this link is also rewired to a randomly chosen target node that is in the same state as the source.

The model variant in which the rewiring of inert links is switched on shows no evidence for early fragmentation (see Fig. 8). Fragmentation occurs in a relatively sharp transition at a critical rewiring rate \( p_c \) that is consistent with the estimate from the motif expansion. We emphasize that the rewiring of inert links neither introduces nor destroys active links. It therefore has no direct impact on fragmentation. However, rewiring inert links prevents the buildup of correlation between the in degree and the out degree of nodes and thereby inhibits the formation of self-stabilizing structures. The absence of

Finally, for the networks with \( k \) distributed according to a Poisson distribution, the density of the nodes that is neglected in previously proposed approximation schemes.
In the final state (bottom), the parameters are the correlation coefficient between the in and out degree of the nodes (top), the proportion of fragmenting simulation runs (middle), and fragmentation. Shown are the absolute value of the magnetization are still rather noisy due to the highly stochastic nature of early visible, although the shown averages over 100 simulation runs (squares) or are not rewired (circles). Early fragmentation is clearly distribution and low $\langle k \rangle = 10$, $\langle k \rangle = 4$, and $\hat{k}_{in} = \hat{k}_{out} = 10$.

early fragmentation in a model where these correlations are removed confirms the causal relationships postulated above. We therefore conclude that in directed networks the slow buildup of negative correlations between in degree and out degree can initiate early fragmentation by leading to the formation of self-stabilizing structures.

V. CONCLUSION

In the present paper we have investigated an extension of the voter model on adaptive networks that takes the directionality of the interactions among the agents into account. We found that our model can transition to a fractionated state for rewiring rates that lie much below the critical value estimated using analytical approaches known to work well in undirected models. We discovered that fragmentation occurs due to an alternative mechanism that depends inherently on the directed nature of the links. This early fragmentation occurs when agents focus their attention on those who are steady in their opinion because they pay attention only to few sources of information. In this case self-stabilizing structures can form that nucleate fragmentation.

Our results illustrate that directed networks can exhibit different physics not observed in their undirected counterparts. Especially in the investigation of opinion formation processes, the often directed flow of attention should therefore be taken into account in models.

In the context of real-world opinion formation processes the mechanism described here may constitute a threat but also an opportunity. Early fragmentation maintains diversity of opinions; it may thus aid the survival of counterfactual myths but also of legitimate and well-founded views of minorities. It is conceivable that this mechanism may be employed in the future to adjust the perceived degree of controversy in controlled environments. For instance, in online discussion boards or music recommendation systems the underlying software can in principle control which posts are displayed to whom. It could thus encourage rewiring of attention that either facilitates or inhibits early fragmentation.

Even the adaptive directed voter model paints a highly simplified picture of real-world opinion formation processes and thus must be considered as a toy model. Therefore, investigation of more realistic models is an important goal for the future. Based on the results and analysis presented in the present paper, we believe that the mechanism of early fragmentation will be observed whenever directed attention is focused preferentially on agents that change their opinions at a less than average rate. We therefore expect that early fragmentation should be robust to future refinements of the model.

A key ingredient that is missing in our present model is novelty. Here we considered only the exchange of opinions regarding a single well-defined question, whereas in reality many discussions are enriched by the constant inflow of new ideas. We have shown that homophily favors connecting to poorly informed agents and thereby promotes early fragmentation, whereas curiosity would favor connecting to well-informed agents and thereby hinder early fragmentation. In this light, novelty, whether in the form of true innovation or arbitrarily changing fashions, may play an important role in preventing social fragmentation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank G. Demirel for fruitful discussions. The work of C.H. was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-0848755.

[5] R. Maddow, “The Rachel Maddow Show”, MSNBC TV show (2010), critical comment on US news reports that supported their claim that the President’s visit to India cost $200 million per day by citing other reports in the news and on the Internet http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/3096434/#40018314.
[30] In this work we use the link update scheme. We have checked that the results reported here do not change qualitatively when using node update, i.e., selecting a random node and then one of its outgoing neighbors.