

**ESAM 446-1**  
**Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations**

Fall 2004

Hermann Riecke

Problem Set 1

Due Friday, October 1.

**1. ODE: Nonlinear Duffing Oscillator**

Consider the ordinary differential equation describing the frictionless motion of a particle in a quartic potential,

$$\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} = -\partial_u V(u), \text{ with } V(u) = -\frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{4}u^4. \quad (1)$$

To solve this equation with the techniques discussed in class rewrite it in terms of a system of two equations for  $u(t)$  and  $v(t) = \frac{du}{dt}$ . Build on the template code available on the class web site to write a MATLAB program to solve this equation numerically. To monitor the quality of your simulation have the program also calculate the total energy

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{du}{dt}\right)^2 + V(u)$$

of the system. A particularly interesting initial condition is  $u(t=0) = 1.414214$ ,  $v(t=0) = 0$ . It explores a sensitive aspect of the system, because the energy is just large enough for the particle to cross the maximum of the potential at  $u = 0$ .

1. **Good Coding Practice.** In MATLAB you do not have to decide before hand how large the arrays should be; MATLAB can adjust the array size as needed. However, this readjustment is slow. Measure the difference in computation time by running the template code for some initial condition up to  $t = 50$  with time step  $\Delta t = 0.005$  without and with the lines in the template that preallocate the memory to the arrays `sol`, `energy`, `deltat` and `t`. To clear any allocations of memory and variable assignments between runs use the command `clear`.

**2. Forward Euler**

- (a) Validate the provided template:
  - i. Measure the frequency of oscillation for the initial condition  $u(t=0) = 1.0001$ ,  $v(t=0) = 0$ . Does it converge to the analytical value for small-amplitude oscillations  $\omega = \sqrt{2}$ ? To measure the frequency reasonably precisely measure the time for 10 full oscillations.
  - ii. For the initial condition  $u(t=0) = 1.414214$ ,  $v(t=0) = 0$  plot the difference of successive approximations  $u_{\Delta t}(t=20) - u_{\Delta t/2}(t=20)$  as a function of the time step  $\Delta t$  on a log-log plot (decrease the time step by factors of 2). Use the command `loglog` instead of calculating the log and using `plot`. Does the solution  $u(t=20)$  converge with the correct rate?
- (b) How small do you have to choose the time step  $\Delta t$  to keep the (numerically induced) energy change  $\Delta E \equiv E(t=20) - E(t=0)$  below  $|\Delta E| = 0.1$ ? Measure the computation time for this run. How does the change in energy manifest itself in the solution?

- (c) Improve the program by making the time step adaptive (modify `stepeuler.m`). In each time step choose the optimal time step for a given tolerance  $\Delta$ . Measure how small you have to choose  $\Delta$  to reach  $|\Delta E(t = 20)| = 0.1$ . Compare the computation time with that of the code without adaptive time step.
- Plot the maximal and minimal time step the code chooses as a function of  $\Delta$ . Do you obtain a scaling similar to the scaling of the time-step as a function of the error for the code without adaptive time step (see 2(a)ii)?
- Choose a tolerance  $\Delta$  for which you obtain the correct *qualitative* behavior for  $0 < t < 100$ . Measure the computation time for that run.

### 3. Runge-Kutta

- (a) Replace the fixed-time-step Euler code by a fixed-time-step fourth-order Runge-Kutta code. As you have done for the Euler code, validate your code by measuring the frequency for  $u(t = 0) = 1.0001$ ,  $v(t = 0) = 0$  and by determining the scaling of  $u_{\Delta t}(t = 20) - u_{\Delta t/2}(t = 20)$  with  $\Delta t$ .
- (b) Repeat 2b for the fixed-time-step fourth-order Runge-Kutta code.
- (c) Repeat 2c for the fixed-time-step fourth-order Runge-Kutta code.

#### Additional comments that apply to all assignments:

- When changing time steps in convergence studies always decrease/increase it by a factor of 2 (cf. 2(a)ii).
- If you do log-log plots in matlab use the command `loglog` instead of `plot`. **Do not** take the logarithm of the data and plot that result using `plot`. (On unix machines a very nice plotting package is `xmgrace`).
- Please type your results for legibility and include the graphs in the text. Please also include your code in your write-up. As pointed out in class, part of the grade on the homework will be based on the clarity and presentation of the write-up (text structured logically, written comprehensibly, figures labelled appropriately, code written in a structured way and sufficiently well documented so that others (and you yourself in a couple of weeks) can understand it without spending hours and hours deciphering it.
- for plots: show the data as symbols rather than (or in addition to) lines connecting the values. To demonstrate that certain data fall on a line with a slope of a certain value, plot also a straight line with that slope next to the data to convince the reader that the results are indeed close to the prediction.
- in general: support your statements with appropriate plots or tables.