

**446-2 Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations**  
Spring 2007  
Hermann Riecke

Problem Set 3, April 28, 2007

due May 12, 2007

## 1 Exponential Time Differencing

In this homework you implement the exponential time differencing scheme evaluating the integral with 4<sup>th</sup>-order Runge-Kutta (ETDRK4). The scheme is given in detail in the class notes.

Write a matlab function ETDRK4 that solves a general PDE of the form

$$\partial_t \psi = L\psi + N(\psi)$$

with  $L$  being a linear operator containing spatial derivatives and possibly a term without a derivative and  $N$  representing a nonlinear term without any derivatives. Write a separate function that computes the factors  $E_i$  of the ETDRK4 scheme. This function depends only on  $c = dt \cdot \lambda$  with  $\lambda$  being the list of eigenvalues of  $L$ . Note that for small  $c$  the expressions for  $E_i$ ,  $i = 2 \dots 5$  are very susceptible to round-off error due to cancelations. For those values of  $c$  you need to replace these expression with their Taylor expansions. **Without any modification**, your two functions implementing ETDRK4 should work in one, two, or arbitrary spatial dimensions. To do this efficiently use the FIND function of matlab. A typical use of FIND is, for instance,

```
index=find(A<1);
```

```
A(index)=0;
```

In a matrix of arbitrary dimension these two commands set all those entries to 0 that are smaller than 1.

## 2 Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation

Insert your ETDRK4 function into your standard code. Define  $\lambda$ ,  $N(\psi)$ , and the initial conditions appropriately to solve the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation

$$\partial_t A = d\partial_x^2 A + aA + c|A|^2 A \quad 0 \leq x \leq L. \quad (1)$$

Using the same parameter values and the same soliton as in homework 2 to perform a detailed convergence test of your ETDRK4. Now, however, use  $L = 160$  and  $t_{max} = 10$ .

1. Do you obtain the correct order of accuracy with your code?
2. Can you now achieve an accuracy that is only limited by round-off error?

3. Provide a single figure showing the error as a function of  $\Delta t$  for all values of  $N$  that you used to demonstrate your conclusion.

**Note:** If you want to see a few more interesting solutions and regimes of the complex Ginzburg-Landau equations have a look at the papers

1. H. Chate, *Spatiotemporal intermittency regimes of the one-dimensional complex Ginzburg-Landau equation*, *Nonlinearity* 7 (1994) 185
2. I. Aranson and L. Kramer, *The world of the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 74 (2002) 99.

There are links to these two papers on the class web site.

### 3 Swift-Hohenberg Model

Now change  $\lambda$ ,  $N(\psi)$ , and the initial condition so as to solve the two-dimensional Swift-Hohenberg model as in homework 3 ( $\beta = 0$ ),

$$\partial_t \psi = R\psi - (d\nabla^2 + 1)^2 \psi + \beta\psi^2 - \psi^3 \quad 0 \leq x \leq L \quad 0 \leq y \leq L \quad (2)$$

Test the convergence of the ETDRK4 scheme now in a regime in which the evolution of the solution is very slow and study its behavior for relatively large time steps.

1. Use  $L = 30$  and  $R = 0.8$  and limit yourself to  $N = 64$ . First prepare a suitable initial condition for your convergence test by starting from random initial conditions and obtain a solution for  $t_{max}^{(0)} = 400$ . Save that solution  $\psi_{ic}$  to a file and use it as initial condition for the further tests.
2. Using the initial condition  $\psi_{ic}$  perform a convergence test by running the code to  $t_{max} = 300$ . Since you do not have an exact solution assess the accuracy of the code by measuring the difference  $\Delta\psi(x_i, y_j)$  between two final states obtained with time steps  $\Delta t$  differing by a factor of 2. Plot  $N^{-2} \sum_{ij} |\Delta\psi(x_i, y_j)|$  as a function of  $\Delta t$ . Start with the largest  $\Delta t$  allowed by the stability of the code and decrease  $\Delta t$  from there by factors of 2. For this homework it will be sufficient to plot 4 or 5 such data points.
3. Now perform the same convergence test with your CNAB code, i.e. start from the very same  $\psi_{ic}$  and run the code again to  $t_{max} = 300$ . Again start from the largest  $\Delta t$  that the stability of your code allows. Decrease  $\Delta t$  by factors of 2 until you reach an accuracy that is comparable to that obtained with your ETDRK4 code. Include these data in the same convergence plot for ETDRK4.